[26th November 1949

The Bhyravana Thippa Project in Bellary district.

* 56 *Q.*—SRI N. SANKARA REDDI (on behalf of Sri B. Bhima Rao): Will the Hon. Minister for Public Works be pleased to state—

(*a*) whether the Bhyravana Thippa Project in Bellary district has been taken up for execution during the current year; and

(*b*) if not, the reasons for the delay?

THE HON. SRI M. BHAKTAVATSALAM :—" (*a*) & (*b*) The scheme has not yet been sanctioned for execution as the investigation of its revenue and financial aspects is not yet complete, but is in progress."

SRI S. JAYARAMA REDDI :—" May I know when the Government will take up this work ?"

THE HON. SRI M. BHAKTAVATSALAM :—" I do hope that it will be possible to inaugurate the construction of the Project by January next."

Industries that got state-aid during 1948–49.

* 57 *Q.*—SRI MOTHEY NARAYANA RAO: Will the Hon. the Minister for Industries be pleased to state—

(*a*) whether all the industries that have received State-aid from the Government during 1948–49 are existing ones or new industries to be started after receiving the said aid; and

(*b*) the nature of the industry, the name of the company, the owner, manager or managing director, and the amount of State-aid given to each of the industries?

THE HON. SRI H. SITARAMA REDDI (on behalf of Hon. the Minister for Industries) :—" (*a*) & (*b*) A statement[a] containing the information is placed on the table of the House."

Collection of foodgrains from ryots in villages.

* 58 *Q.*—SRI N. SANKARA REDDI (on behalf of Sri B. Bhima Rao): Will the Hon. Minister for Food be pleased to state—

(*a*) whether the procurement officers could go a second or even a third time to any ryot in a village and demand delivery of further quantity of foodgrains from him over and above what he was required to deliver on a prior occasion and whether, if the ryot could not deliver in accordance with such subsequent demands on the ground that it is excessive, he is liable to prosecution; and

(*b*) whether any authority has been invested with the power to adjudicate upon the justice of the subsequent demand or whether it should be determined by the trial court only?

---

[a] Printed as Appendix III on pages 673–675 infra.

26th November 1949]

THE HON. SRI J. L. P. ROCHE VICTORIA :—" (a) Yes. Under clause 3 (2) of the Madras Foodgrains (Intensive Procurement) Order 1948, the surplus once determined may be altered from time to time and the surplus re-determined either by the officer who determined it in the first instance or by any other higher authority. Whenever the surplus is re-determined under this clause, a revised 'd' list will be issued to the concerned ryot and failure to comply with it will render the person liable to prosecution.

" (b) Yes. If the ryot has any grievance in regard to the justice of the demand, he may look into the village procurement registers 'A' and 'B' which show the yield the requirements and the surplus and satisfy himself about the reasonableness of the surplus demanded of him. If he is still not satisfied and feels that the demand is unjust, he may appeal to the Taluk Supply Officer concerned."

SRI R. SURYANARAYANA RAO :—" Who redetermines this surplus in the case of the ryots ? "

THE HON. SRI J. L. P. ROCHE VICTORIA :—" On information received, the Procurement Officer goes and verifies the stocks and when he finds some surplus over and above the first supply given to the Government, he decides the second list."

SRI R. SURYANARAYANA RAO :—" When the surplus lists are scrutinised by the higher revenue officials, how would the surplus go on changing except for the fact that a particular village has to supply a certain quantity and that quantity must be somehow got from some ryots leaving out the other ryots ? "

THE HON. SRI J. L. P. ROCHE VICTORIA :—" When once the list is made out, it is sometimes found that either the first list has not been properly made or something is left out or some stock has been hidden. These are found out later on and that is why there is the necessity for us to have a second revised list."

SRI R. SURYANARAYANA RAO :—" May I know whether this redetermination of surplus happens only in the case of smaller ryots ? "

THE HON. SRI J. L. P. ROCHE VICTORIA :—" Not necessarily so, Sir."

Article under 'Sidelights' published in the "Swatantra" of 5th November 1949.

* 59 Q.—SRI N. SANKARA REDDI (on behalf of Sri B. Bhima Rao) : Will the Hon. the Premier be pleased to state—

(a) whether the Government have perused the article " Sidelight " published in the *Swatantra* of November 5th, 1949 at page 8—beginning with the sentence " After the Radium Scandal, the Secretariat Scandal ";